



TOWN OF NORTHBOROUGH Conservation Commission

Town Hall Offices • 63 Main Street • Northborough, MA 01532 • 508-393-5015 • 508-393-6996 Fax

Conservation Commission Meeting Minutes November 16, 2015

Members Present: Greg Young, Maurice Tougas, Diane Guldner, Wayne Baldelli, Justin Dufresne, Todd Helwig and Tom Beals

Others Present: Kale Kalloch-Getman, Conservation Agent; Jacquie Goring, Board Secretary; Erynn Danhoff, Oxbow Associates; Jason Merck, Triad Associates, Inc.; Scott Goddard, Goddard Consulting; Jeff Peters, resident of 4 West Street; Linda Peters, resident of 4 West Street; Santo Anza, SA Farm; Katie Crockett, Lamoureux Pagano & Associates, Inc.; Matt Brassard, Nitsch Engineering; Chris Bianchi, Guigli & Sons, Inc.

Approval of Minutes: Ms. Diane Guldner motioned to approve the minutes of October 19, 2015 as amended. Mr. Maurice Tougas seconded the motion and the vote was unanimously in favor of approval.

Notice of Intent Continuation, 125 and 129 Madison Street, Map 101, Parcels 82 and 83 MassDEP File #247-1100

- Applicant: Harris MacNeill
- Representative: Erynn Dayhoff, Oxbow Associates
- Request: Reconstruction of an existing retaining wall
- Jurisdiction: Buffer Zone to a Bordering Vegetated Wetland

Ms. Erynn Dayhoff, Oxbow Associates, and Mr. Jason Merck, Triad Associates, Inc., presented the project for 125 and 129 Madison Street for reconstruction of an existing retaining wall. Ms. Kale Kalloch-Getman displayed photos of the site. Ms. Dayhoff provided hard copies of the revised plans. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that she spoke with the Planning Board, building Department and Department of Public Works regarding the easement on the property. Mr. Dan Nason, Public Works director, conducted a site visit and reviewed the easement in the field. Mr. Nason confirmed with Ms. Kalloch-Getman that the applicant may install the wall at their own risk but the Town may remove it at any point. Ms. Dayhoff confirmed that the homeowner is willing to take on the risk. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that the applicant will need a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) because the proposed retaining wall does not meet setback requirements. Ms. Dayhoff noted that the applicant will file with the ZBA once the work is approved by the Commission.

Ms. Dayhoff described the alternatives to the proposed work as requested by the Commission during the previous hearing. Mr. Merck displayed the plans for the alternatives. Mr. Merck clarified that alternative 1 is the same as the originally proposed plan. The plan includes moving the wall six feet out from the existing wall, requiring less excavation and fill and allowing the existing walkway and granite stairs to remain in place. Permeable fill such as washed stone will be placed between the existing and proposed walls. Mr. Merck noted that the wall must be replaced because it is failing and is a liability and safety hazard. The second alternative is to reconstruct the wall in the same location and add landscaping

including high bush blueberry and fencing. The third alternative is to keep the existing timber wall and replace damaged sections with blocks which Mr. Merck noted is not the ideal alternative.

Ms. Kalloch-Getman displayed photos of the existing wall which was secured using angle irons to prevent collapse. Photos also showed stakes in the field marking the edge of the proposed wall for alternative 1 which will be 27 feet from the edge of the wetland or three feet into the 30' buffer. The Commission reviewed the alternatives. Mr. Wayne Baldelli confirmed with Mr. Merck that rebuilding the wall in the same place as the existing wall is possible but would require more excavation and removal of the existing walkway, granite stairs, and irrigation valve box. Mr. Merck noted that a foundational wall could be installed four feet below the frost line but would likely encounter groundwater. The Commission confirmed with Mr. Merck that the wall could be cut at the corner on a diagonal but would require additional excavation. Mr. Merck also noted that the existing wall is over 20 years old and large stumps or other debris could be encountered requiring further excavation. Additionally, reconstructing the wall at an angle would require additional fill, rebuilding of the staircase, replacement of the irrigation valve box and would obstruct an existing window. Mr. Merck noted that the blocks proposed to reconstruct the wall are very large and will be set in place using an excavator. The Commission discussed the proposed wall replacement and noted that they would like the work to stay out of the 30' buffer unless there is no other alternative. The Commission approved alternative 2 print 2 which located the wall and associated work 32 feet from the wetland. Ms. Dayhoff provided the plan for alternative 2 print 2 to Ms. Kalloch-Getman.

Mr. Baldelli motioned to issue an Order of Conditions for 125 and 129 Madison Street for reconstruction of an existing retaining wall. Mr. Tom Beals seconded the motion and the vote was unanimously in favor of the motion.

Notice of Intent, 0 Church Street, Map 43, Parcels 8 MassDEP File #247-1104

- Applicant: Joseph Bottieri
- Representative: Scott Goddard, Goddard Consulting
- Request: Installation of new septic system
- Jurisdiction: Bordering Land Subject to Flooding, Buffer Zone to a Bordering Vegetated Wetland

Scott Goddard of Goddard Consulting was present as representative for the applicant. Ms. Kalloch-Getman displayed plans for the property. Mr. Goddard described the site as a vacant lot on Church Street. Mr. Greg Young noted that an abutting property had experienced flooding from beaver activity and another abutter in the area has an elevated septic system. Mr. Goddard noted that the lot is wooded with pits and mounds from spoil piles and has excavated areas as a result of various construction activities on the site. Mr. Goddard also noted that the woodland habitat on the lot is regrowth following the past construction. Ms. Kalloch-Getman displayed photos of the lot. Mr. Goddard described the Bordering Vegetated Wetland (BVW) as a cattail marsh associated with a brook which runs across Church Street to another BVW across the street. Mr. Goddard also described the 100' wetland buffer which crosses the middle of the site and the FEMA 100 year floodplain and Bordering Land Subject to Flooding (BLSF). Mr. Goddard noted that the elevation of the 100 year floodplain is 322 feet and the elevation of the area on the property within the floodplain is 321.9 feet.

Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that the property behind the lot is owned by the Town as part of the Cold Harbor Brook protection area and was preserved by the Town to prevent flooding. Exploratory test pits were conducted on the property and observed by the Board of Health. Mr. Goddard noted that the soils on the site in the northwest corner are tight silt and did not pass perc testing. Mr. Goddard stated that the single family house and associated site work meets the performance standards including no building

or structure within the 15' or 30' buffer. Mr. Goddard reviewed the regulations for BLSF and noted that the work will not significantly alter flood storage or wildlife habitat. Mr. Goddard noted that compensatory flood storage was designed as part of the project and no important wildlife habitat is present. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that MassDEP requested that a wildlife habitat survey Appendix B be submitted. Mr. Goddard stated that he did not observe any significant habitat feature on the property. Mr. Goddard noted that the property is mapped within the Natural Heritage & Endangered Species (NHESP) area and has not responded to date. Mr. Goddard noted that the hearing should be continued until the letter from NHESP is received.

Mr. Goddard described the BLSF and compensatory flood storage which will be completed at a one to one ratio at the same elevation of 321.2 feet to create the same storage capacity on the site to meet the performance standard. Mr. Goddard also noted that the Town by-law references BLSF as a resource area. The Commission discussed the proposed work. Mr. Young asked Mr. Goddard if the house could be moved toward Church Street off of the 30' buffer. Mr. Goddard stated that it would not meet the setback requirements to the street. Mr. Todd Helwig confirmed with Mr. Goddard that the applicant could apply to the ZBA for a variance for the set back to the street. Mr. Goddard added that the house would be right on the street if moved. Mr. Goddard confirmed that the Board of Health has not received plans for the septic design to date. Ms. Kalloch-Getman stated that the site soils are described as muck soil and Mr. Goddard stated there is not much soil on the property and is mostly sand and gravel.

Mr. Helwig expressed concerns about building a septic system and part of the house in the flood zone. Mr. Goddard stated that flood insurance would not be necessary because the structure will be raised and that he is not aware of previous plans to develop the lot. Mr. Goddard confirmed that the FEMA floodplain was surveyed on the site using the most recent FEMA data and he will notify FEMA that the line will be modified if an Order of Conditions is issued for the proposed work. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that in accordance with the wetlands by-law, buyers and lenders are required to be notified that wetlands are on the property. Mr. Helwig confirmed with Mr. Goddard that he was unsure if the applicant is going to live in the house or is building it to be sold. The Commission discussed potential beaver activity and the risk of additional flooding which Mr. Goddard stated beaver removal regulations would be followed if necessary. Mr. Goddard provided cross sections for the house and septic system as required by the submittal. The Commission reviewed the plans and discussed the BLSF on the property.

Mr. Jeff Peters, resident of 4 West Street, was present and noted that when it rains water backs up and floods the area show on the plan as floodplain. Mr. Peters expressed concerns about the septic system functioning properly in the flood zone and confirmed with Mr. Goddard that the septic system will be raised seven feet to accommodate the high groundwater table. Mr. Goddard noted that the septic system is designed to function with a fluctuating groundwater table with five feet of separation from the high groundwater level. Mr. Goddard also noted that he conducted a site visit with Ms. Kalloch-Getman and Mr. Young.

The Commission discussed the proposed work. Mr. Young confirmed with Mr. Goddard that any flood water should enter the compensatory flood storage area and should provide better protection for the abutters from flooding. The Commission expressed concerns about the proposed work and confirmed with Mr. Goddard that there is space on the site for additional compensatory flood storage. The Commission discussed the tight soils found in the area and expressed concerns about drainage. Mr. Peters stated that he has lived at his property since 1999 and recalls only 2 years the lot did not have a large puddle and that the area only dries out in August and is always wet. Mr. Justin Dufresne asked if Mr. Goddard had found hydric soils in the area and Mr. Goddard stated he had not identified an isolated wetland during his review. Mr. Goddard confirmed that the proposed work will not affect the other

raised septic systems in the area because the proposed compensatory flood storage will be a match in elevation to the existing floodplain.

Mr. Goddard also confirmed that he will wait for the NHESP letter and conduct a test pit in the area of the proposed compensatory flood storage to confirm if the soils are sandy or silty and will look for an area for additional storage capacity. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that the by law includes a buffer zone for BLSF and that the Commission may also require more flood storage if deemed necessary. Mr. Goddard must also submit a written request for waiver for the proposed work and the Appendix B wildlife habitat survey. Mr. Goddard confirmed that he will be providing the Board of Health septic plans for review and approval.

Mr. Beals motioned to continue the hearing for the Notice of Intent for 0 Church Street for installation of a septic system to December 14, 2015. Ms. Guldner seconded the motion and the vote was unanimously in favor of the motion.

333 South West Cut Off partial COC

Ms. Kalloch-Getman informed the Commission that she had received a request for a partial Certificate of Compliance (COC) for 33 South West Cutoff. Ms. Kalloch-Getman spoke with the property owner, Mr. Andrew Collins, and had not filed a deed reference for the property to date. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that she had received limited plans including copies of the grading plans but has not received as-builts. Ms. Kalloch-Getman also noted that she was under the understanding that the Commission approved of the completed work around the baseball fields. The Commission discussed the request for a partial COC releasing all of the work with the exception of the landscaping that has not been complete to date. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that she has not received plans for two catch basins and the retaining wall with drains. The Commission reviewed the plans and noted that all of the water from the property flows toward Route 20. Mr. Beals confirmed with Ms. Kalloch-Getman that the area where breakout had previously occurred was built to plan according to Waterman Design. Ms. Kalloch-Getman read a letter from Brian Waterman of Waterman Designs noting that the site is fully stable with the exception of the area in the front of the property. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that the drainage structure will be tied into the system and there is a large pile of material remaining on the site. Ms. Kalloch-Getman also noted that Waterman Designs provided copies of the plans submitted with the Notice of Intent (NOI) stating the work was completed as shown on the plans but did not provide details for the structures. Ms. Kalloch-Getman confirmed that she had not completed a site visit to review the entire site. The Commission discussed the request and did not feel there was enough information to issue a partial COC. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that the outflow through the wall ends at riprap on a slope and the erosion control barrier with no area to slow the flow down before entering the undisturbed area. The Commission requested that Ms. Kalloch-Getman conduct a site visit confirming that the work was completed as designed, a plan depicting the area they are requesting a partial COC for and clearly stating why they are requesting a partial COC. Mr. Young recommended a representative be present when the request is discussed by the Commission.

Mr. Helwig motioned to deny the request for a partial Certificate of Compliance for 333 South West Cutoff. Mr. Beals seconded the motion and the vote was unanimously in favor of the motion.

432 Whitney Street - Informal discussion

Ms. Kalloch-Getman informed that Commission that Mr. Santo Anza filed a Request for Determination of Applicability (RDA) in October but had not provided an abutters list or the filing fee. Ms. Kalloch-Getman contacted Mr. Anza and had not received a response. Mr. Anza contacted Mr. Fred Litchfield, Town Engineer, the previous week to clarify why his filing was not on the agenda for the November 17, 2015 Commission meeting. Mr. Young noted that the Commission added an informal discussion regarding 432 Whitney Street to the agenda. Ms. Kalloch-Getman and Mr. Litchfield conducted a site walk and reviewed the area of concern. Mr. Anza was present and noted that he had brought logging equipment to the property to improve an area for pasture and Mr. Litchfield noted at that time the road to access the area proposed to be logged goes through the buffer zone. Mr. Anza stated that the proposed work is allowed under agriculture and that the property is under Chapter 61A. Mr. Anza noted that he has the right to improve the road which is preexisting and that the road is the only access to the area proposed for logging. Mr. Anza also noted that the road was put in by the Army Corps of Engineers and that equipment will only be driven over it to access the area for logging. Mr. Anza stated he will improve the road with a skidder to widen it and will not use a chain saw and will only drive the skidder across the road to remove the tree saplings.

Ms. Kalloch-Getman read the text description included in the RDA which included improving the road and culvert. Ms. Kalloch-Getman provided the Commission background for the RDA submittal noting that logging trucks were observed on the property and confirmed at that time with Ms. Laura Dooley, Northeast Region Service Forester, that a forest cutting plan was not submitted. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that the owner of the logging company thought the appropriate permits had been pulled for the work. Ms. Kalloch-Getman also noted that Mr. Anza does not believe he is required to submit a forest cutting plan because the property is agricultural. The Commission confirmed with Ms. Kalloch-Getman that the logger stated 30,000 to 40,000 board feet were expected from the logging the property. Mr. Anza stated that Ms. Kalloch-Getman spoke to a laborer and requested that she direct questions to him in the future. Ms. Kalloch-Getman stated the person she spoke to identified himself as the owner. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted she had conducted a site visit and reviewed the culvert areas and wetland flags still present on the site and Mr. Anza stated at that time he only wanted access to log the upper area. Mr. Baldelli noted that the culvert is narrow and asked Mr. Anza how he plans to mitigate the work only to the cart path. Mr. Anza stated that the work will be completed in stages and will come back to the Commission if he plans to log in the buffer zone. Mr. Anza also stated that he believes as a farmer he can use the road and cut in the buffer and cut 50% of the trees in the wetland. Mr. Anza confirmed with Mr. Tougas that the work that is proposed is standard agricultural practice. Mr. Anza confirmed that livestock has been kept at the property across the street for the past 5 years and that the property across the street is considered an abutting property although they are separated by Whitney Street.

Mr. Young noted that given the history of the property across the street it would be prudent for Mr. Anza to file for an RDA. Mr. Anza noted that there was a communication error between his secretary and the Town and that he does not have any abutters at the property to notify. Mr. Anza also expressed concerns about notifying abutters and needing to complete the work before it snows. Mr. Anza stated that because he was not on the agenda he is present at the meeting to inform the Commission he is going to drive down the cart road. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that there is a significant amount of tree removal required on the road. Mr. Anza stated that no cutting will occur on the road and that a skidder will be used to clear the road. Mr. Tougas stated that the clearing of the cart path is standard agricultural practice. Mr. Baldelli asked if cutting on the cart road would be better than using a skidder and Mr. Anza stated he will improve the road no matter how he needs to under an agricultural exemption. Mr. Baldelli requested that Mr. Anza stay out of the wetland while conducting the work. Mr. Anza stated that he could cut the trees on the road with a saw head or run it over and push them down with a skidder. Ms. Kalloch-Getman stated that an agricultural exemption does not apply to the

proposed work because the property is not being used as pasture land or being cultivated. Mr. Anza stated he has been fencing and livestock for five years.

Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that most of the proposed work is far from wetlands with the exception of the area where the culvert is located under the cart road and that the area is narrow. Ms. Kalloch-Getman also noted that the RDA was vague and did not discuss the culverts and recommended that Mr. Anza file a forest cutting plan. Mr. Anza stated that he will only file for what he is required to. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that the Commission would be able to review the forest cutting plan but cannot provide comment. Mr. Tougas noted that he did not file a forest cutting plan when he expanded his farm by removing an apple orchard. The Commission discussed the agricultural exemption and noted that Mr. Anza has not filed anything regarding the actual proposed plans for review. Mr. Baldelli and Mr. Tougas requested that Mr. Anza stay out of the buffer zone and not fill wetlands. Mr. Anza stated he will only be cutting. Ms. Kalloch-Getman asked Mr. Anza what he planned on doing to the culverts because he included culvert improvements in the RDA. Mr. Anza stated he is not going to do any culvert work and that he is allowed under agriculture to improve the road. Mr. Anza stated he will only be driving over the culverts and if he needs to bring material in he will contact the Commission. Mr. Beals noted that Commission would normally see a forest cutting plan for a similar project. Mr. Anza stated there is no requirement for one when the work is under agricultural exemption. Mr. Anza left abruptly. The Commission noted that if he drives over the road and does not fill any wetlands he should be able to complete the work.

Lincoln Street School

Ms. Katie Crockett, project architect from Lamoureux Pagano & Associates, Inc.; Mr. Matt Brassard, civil engineer from Nitsch Engineering; Mr. Chris Bianchi, site contractor from Guigli & Sons, Inc. were present to discuss the Lincoln Street School project. Ms. Crockett described the roles of each party on the project and stated that she and Mr. Brassard were present to comment on anything that did not meet the contract documents. Mr. Phil Palumbo, owners project manager (OPM), oversees the day to day activity and is the liaison between all parties but was not present at the Commission meeting. Mr. Young noted that the Commission requested a fence be erected around the drainage basin with standing water while a solution for the flooding was found. A fence was never erected around the drainage basin. Ms. Crockett stated that all communication from the Commission should go through Mr. Palumbo and Mr. Bianchi stated that he was not informed of the request for a week and at that point the drainage basin was dry and remained dry until the repairs were made. Mr. Bianchi stated that he had photos provided by Mr. Palumbo of the basin without standing water. Mr. Young noted the basin was dry during the site visit the previous Saturday.

Mr. Bianchi stated that a forced sewer main, water line and gas main were encountered during the construction of the basin that were not properly mapped. Design changes were made to the basin in the field to allow proper ground coverage for the utilities. The Commission discussed the plan changes and noted that they do not think the changes were brought before the Commission. Ms. Kalloch-Getman displayed plans and noted that additional grading had occurred during the construction of the basin. Mr. Dufresne noted that the basin appeared to have been excavated with a very steep grade. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that she had brought the safety concerns about standing water in the basin to the group but was frustrating by the response. Ms. Kalloch-Getman also noted that the Commission and Town were concerned about the drowning hazard, mosquito issues and concerns about ice after the basin held water for two weeks. Ms. Kalloch-Getman stated that the drainage basin was not constructed in a way that allowed it to properly function.

Mr. Brassard stated that it was obvious that the basin was holding too much water and noted that the cause would be that either the outlets were blocked or the basin was not built with the correct elevations. Ms. Kalloch-Getman displayed photos from the site visit with the site contractor, general contractor and environmental consultants and noted the basin was dry but it was obvious that the elevations were not as intended. Ms. Kalloch-Getman also noted that at the time of the site visit she was under the impression that the basin would be fixed immediately but it was not and that she was not included in meetings held onsite to discuss the basin. Mr. Bianchi noted that spot elevations were made in the field and the basin was pumped down and repairs were made. Ms. Kalloch-Getman stated that she should have been contacted regarding the non-functioning basin and prior to dewatering. Mr. Bianchi stated that there was no dewatering and that the basin was pumped down and into the swale. Ms. Kalloch-Getman requested that she be notified of any changes.

Ms. Crockett noted that the basin is currently set up to function as it was designed to filter water prior to entering the perennial stream. Mr. Brassard noted that the plant material is expected to fill in in the spring and that the basin was completed as a dish rather than flat as it was designed. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that she did not receive field reports or site memos and Mr. Brassard disagreed. Mr. Brassard stated that the basin could be repaired to be flatter but many grass plants have already been planted and would be required to be removed and replanted or replaced. Sierra Environmental has confirmed that the plants in the basin are still alive. Mr. Brassard stated that the repairs made to the basin are sufficient and appear to have been repaired to match the design but has not received as-built plans yet. Mr. Brassard noted that uprooting all of the grass plants and regrading is not necessary and that once the plants have fully grown the basin should look good and function properly. Mr. Brassard also noted that the grasses which were planted will be four feet high when fully mature and the area will not appear approachable and should provide a natural barrier.

Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that the soils appear compacted in the basin and could be preventing it from draining properly. Mr. Dufresne noted that the other two basins have been draining properly. Mr. Brassard stated that an auger sample was taken by Sierra Environmental in the middle of the basin and soils appeared to be a medium sand. Mr. Brassard also noted that the ponding issue had occurred prior to the top course of pavement being installed which created a lip allowing more water to enter the basin during rain events. Mr. Brassard recommended that the basin be allowed to grow and fill in for a season and to reevaluate the basin in the spring. Mr. Dufresne noted that the basin appears to be draining now the paving has been completed and that the basin had filled following a rain event and drained within a few days and agreed that changing the grades is unnecessary. Mr. Brassard agreed and stated that the function of the basin will improve with vegetation growth.

Mr. Young agreed that the repairs are a great improvement but expressed concerns about the basin not functioning over the winter or in the future. Ms. Crockett stated that Mr. Palumbo should be contact if the basin is not functioning properly and that the work has a one year warranty period. Mr. Bianchi confirmed that the one year period is until May or June 2016. Ms. Crockett noted that the phase schedule was accelerated and that site contractors will remain until next summer which will provide the opportunity to monitor the landscaping. Mr. Brassard added that the plantings are expected to be established by the time the project has been completed. Mr. Tougas noted that the top soil looks organic and clarified if elevations were taken from below or on top of the organic material. Mr. Brassard stated that the elevations were taken from the top and that 24 to 30 inches of organic material was added to the basin and the soil below is mixture of loam, sand and leaf compost. Mr. Tougas expressed concerns that the elevation will continue to drop overtime by 30% as the organic material decomposes and Mr. Brassard stated that the plantings are designed to replenish the organic material. Mr. Baldelli stated that if the area does lower in elevation the drain pipes could be lowered which Mr. Bianchi

clarified could not be done due to the underground utilities. The Commission discussed the elevation of the basin and Mr. Tougas recommended adding sand if necessary. The Commission clarified that the area currently staked out is a no-mow area that will be left to allow to naturalize.

Mr. Tougas left the meeting at 9:15 PM.

Mr. Dufresne stated that the site looks better every day and Ms. Crockett stated that Mr. Palumbo is still onsite daily and should be contacted with any further concerns. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that Mr. Palumbo has not been responsive and did not come to the meeting. Ms. Crockett stated that issues with Mr. Palumbo should be addressed through the Town and if the Commission would like another site visit she can arrange one. Ms. Crockett also noted that Mr. Brassard does monthly reviews and reviews when the contractor submits requisition for payment or if issues arise. Mr. Brassard clarified that he does not monitor construction but conducts periodic reviews. The Commission discussed the conservation related work at the site and Mr. Dufresne noted that the erosion controls look good. Ms. Crockett stated that the work will be completed by next summer and the landscaping will be monitored. Ms. Kalloch-Getman clarified with Ms. Crockett that Mr. Palumbo should be the point of contact if additional ponding in the retention basin is observed. Ms. Kalloch-Getman clarified with the Commission that if the basin is holding water more than 72 hours they would like to be notified and that safety issues should be brought to Mr. Palumbo and that the Commission expects any safety issues to be addressed immediately.

Discussion Items

Asian Long Horn Beetle Report: Ms. Kalloch-Getman informed the Commission that no new observations of Asian long horn beetles were reported during the most recent reporting period.

Newton Street: Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that there are no new updates on the Newton Street project.

370 South West Cutoff: Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that the project at 370 South West cut off is moving along.

394 Davis Street: Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that the work at 394 Davis Street is nearly complete with the exception of the restoration plan. The Commission discussed the restoration being completed this far into the project and the siltation which is approximately ten inches deep and 40 feet long. Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that the area is significant and was functioning as a wetland prior to the work. Ms. Kalloch-Getman also noted that she discussed the restoration with the new property owner prior to his purchase of the parcel and that the work should take three to four laborers three days to complete the restoration. The Commission discussed the remediation plan and will expect them during the next meeting.

Main Street: Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that she does not expect the project at the divided lot on Computer Exchange/Main Street to move forward.

81 Maple Lane: Ms. Kalloch-Getman noted that the project on 81 Maple Lane on Bartlett Pond should be reviewed. Mr. Baldelli noted that the home is now occupied. Ms. Kalloch-Getman stated that she did not know they received an occupancy permit and that some restoration should occur and lawn should not be up to the edge of Bartlett Pond.

Bartlett Pond: Mr. Baldelli requested the file for the Bartlett Pond vegetation management to review. The Commission discussed applying for additional Community Preservation Act (CPA) funds for additional treatment in 2016 for treatment in 2017.

125 and 129 Madison Street Order of Conditions: The Commission signed Order of Conditions signature page for 125 and 129 Madison Street.

The meeting adjourned at 9:30 PM

Respectively submitted,

Jacque Goring